

Pairs of lattice paths and positive trigonometric sums

Victor J. W. Guo¹ and Jiang Zeng²

¹Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University,
Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China
jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, <http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo>

²Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; Institut Camille Jordan, UMR 5208 du CNRS;
43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr, <http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~zeng>

Abstract. Ismail et al. (Constr. Approx. **15** (1999) 69–81) proved the positivity of some trigonometric polynomials with single binomial coefficients. In this paper, we prove some similar results by replacing the binomial coefficients with products of two binomial coefficients.

Keywords: Lattice paths, binary words, positive trigonometric sums, Jacobi polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials.

MR Subject Classifications: Primary: 42A32; Secondary: 05A15.

1 Introduction

Motivated by Bressoud's generalization of Borwein's conjectures (see [1,4,5]), Ismail, Kim and Stanton [7] proved that the trigonometric polynomial

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \cos(lx) \quad (1.1)$$

is a polynomial in $1 + \cos(x)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients if M, N and k are positive integers satisfying $|M - N| \leq k$. The starting point of [7] is the observation that the number of lattice paths from O to (M, N) not touching the lines $y = x \pm k$ is given by (see [8, p. 12])

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} (-1)^l \geq 0 \quad \text{if } |M - N| \leq k. \quad (1.2)$$

By counting pairs of lattice paths (see Propositions 2.1 and 2.2) we notice that

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 (-1)^l \geq 0 \quad \text{if } |M - N| \leq k, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \binom{M+N}{N-kl} (-1)^l \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

This encourages us to study (1.1) by replacing the single binomial coefficient with a product of binomial coefficients. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M, N \geq 0$. Then the following two trigonometric polynomials*

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 \cos(lx) \quad \text{with} \quad |M-N| \leq k, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \binom{M+N}{N-kl} \cos(lx) \quad (1.6)$$

are polynomials in $1 + \cos(x)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients

Ismail et al. [7] generalized their formula (1.1) to arbitrary polynomials. In the last section, we shall give such generalizations of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4. It is interesting to notice that all the formulas given in [7, Section 2] are valid *mutatis mutandis*.

Finally we recall the ${}_2F_1(1)$ or Chu-Vandermonde summation formula (see [2, p. 67]):

$${}_2F_1(-n, a; c; 1) = \frac{(c-a)_n}{(c)_n}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $(a)_k = a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1)$ and ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

A lattice path in the plan consists of unit steps of two types: in the north and east directions. It is convenient to encode lattice paths by *binary words* on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. For any word u on $\{0, 1\}$, let $|u|_i$ denote the number of occurrences of $i \in \{0, 1\}$ in the word u , and $|u|$ the length of u . Designate by $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ the set of words u on $\{0, 1\}$ such that $|u|_1 = m$ and $|u|_0 = n$. Clearly the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}_{m,n}$ is $\binom{m+n}{n}$.

Throughout of this section we shall assume that $|M-N| \leq k$. For any integer l let $\mathcal{W}_l = \mathcal{M}_{M-kl, N+kl} \times \mathcal{M}_{N+kl, M-kl}$ and $\mathcal{W} := \cup_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_l$.

Proposition 2.1. *The number of bi-words $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_0$ such that $-k < \sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) < k$ for all $s \leq M+N$ is given by (1.3).*

Proof. Since the cardinality of $\mathcal{W}_l$ is $\binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2$, weighting each term in $\mathcal{W}_l$ by $(-1)^l$, the total weight of $\mathcal{W}$ is given by (1.3).

Let $\mathcal{W}'$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}'_0$) be the set of elements (u, v) in $\mathcal{W}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}_0$) satisfying the condition $-k < \sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) < k$ for all $s \leq M+N$. We first show that $\mathcal{W}' = \mathcal{W}'_0$. Indeed, if $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_l \cap \mathcal{W}'$, then $|u|_1 - |v|_1 = M - N - 2kl$. As $|M - N| \leq k$, the hypothesis $-k < |u|_1 - |v|_1 < k$ implies that $l = 0$. It remains to define a sign-reversing killing involution on $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}'$, which reduces (1.3) to the cardinality of $\mathcal{W}'$.

For any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}'$, since $u_j - v_j \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, there exists some $s > 0$ such that $\sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) = \pm k$. Picking the smallest such s and exchanging the first s letters in u and v , we obtain $u' = v_1 \dots v_s u_{s+1} \dots u_{M+N}$ and $v' = u_1 \dots u_s v_{s+1} \dots v_{M+N}$. It is clear that if $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_j$ then $(u', v') \in \mathcal{W}_{j-1} \cup \mathcal{W}_{j+1}$ and they have the same s . Thus $(u, v) \mapsto (u', v')$ is a sign-reversing involution on $\mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}'$. ■

Similarly, we can prove the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *The number of bi-words (u, v) on $\{0, 1\}$, such that $|u|_1 = |v|_1 = M$, $|u|_0 = |v|_0 = N$, and $-k < \sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) < k$ for all $s \leq M + N$, is given by (1.4).*

For any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_l$, we have $|u|_1 - |v|_1 = M - N - 2kl$. So we can uniquely factorize u and v into a product of subwords $u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(s+1)}$ and $v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(s+1)}$, respectively, with the largest s , such that $|u^{(j)}| = |v^{(j)}|$, $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1 = \pm k$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $-k \leq |u^{(s+1)}|_1 - |v^{(s+1)}|_1 \leq k$. If $|u^{(s+1)}|_1 - |v^{(s+1)}|_1 = \pm k$, then we say that (u, v) has $(s+1)$ k -segments; otherwise (u, v) has s k -segments. We call $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(s+1)}; v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(s+1)})$ the k -factorization of (u, v) . In addition, if there are p values r such that $\sum_{j=1}^r (|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1) = \pm k$, we say that (u, v) is of class p and denote by $\mathcal{W}_l(p)$ the set of elements in $\mathcal{W}_l$ of class p . Define the set

$$B_p := \left\{ (u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_0(p) : -2k < \sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) < 2k \text{ for } s = 1, \dots, M + N \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem 2.3. *For $M, N \geq 0$, we have*

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 \cos(lx) = \sum_{p \geq 0} b_p (1 + \cos x)^p, \quad (2.2)$$

where b_p is the cardinality of B_p .

Proof. Setting $x = \pi$ in (2.2) we see that $b_0 \geq 0$ by Proposition 2.1. Assume that $p \geq 1$. Writing $\cos(lx) = T_l(\cos x)$, where

$$T_l(x) = (-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{(-l)_k (l)_k}{k! (1/2)_k} (1+x)^k 2^{-k}$$

is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind [2, p. 101], we see that the coefficient b_p in (2.2) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} b_p &= \sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 \frac{(-l)_p (l)_p}{p! (1/2)_p} \frac{(-1)^l}{2^p} \\ &= \sum_{|l| \geq p} \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 \binom{|l|+p}{|l|-p} \frac{2^p |l| (-1)^{l-p}}{|l|+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore b_p is the weight function of the set $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{|l| \geq p} \mathcal{W}_l$ of bi-words on $\{0, 1\}$ with each term in $\mathcal{W}_l$ weighted by

$$w(l, p) = \binom{|l|+p}{|l|-p} \frac{2^p |l|}{|l|+p} (-1)^{|l|-p}.$$

As the weight is 0 for $|l| < p$, we may assume that $|l| \geq p > 0$. We will show that many of the terms (with weights) in $\mathcal{W}$ are summed to be 0. Moreover, all the other terms (with weights) will have $|l| = p$, and are thus positive.

By symmetry, we may assume that $N \geq M$. Let $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(s)}; v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(s)})$ be the k -factorization of $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}$. If all the quantities $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1$ ($1 \leq j \leq s$) are equal, we say that (u, v) is a *good guy*, otherwise it is a *bad guy*.

- Assume that $N = M$. Suppose that $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_l$ is a good guy. Then $s = 2|l|$. For any $0 \leq r \leq |l| - p$, if we choose r pairs $(u^{(j)}, v^{(j)})$ and exchange $v^{(j)}$ and $u^{(j)}$, then we obtain the k -factorization of a term (u', v') in $\mathcal{W}_{l-r}$ if $l > 0$, or in $\mathcal{W}_{l+r}$ if $l < 0$. The total weight of (u', v') obtained in such a way is

$$\sum_{r=0}^{|l|-p} \binom{2|l|}{r} w(|l| - r, p). \quad (2.3)$$

If $\ell := |l| > p$, this sum can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^p \ell}{\ell + p} \binom{\ell + p}{2p} & \left({}_2F_1(-2\ell, -\ell + p; -\ell - p + 1; 1) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{2(\ell - p)}{\ell + p - 1} {}_2F_1(-2\ell + 1, -\ell + p + 1; -\ell - p + 2; 1) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is easily seen to be zero from the ${}_2F_1(1)$ evaluation (1.7).

Note that any bad guy in $\cup_{|l| \geq p} \mathcal{W}_l$ can be obtained from a good guy (u, v) by exchanging some factors $u^{(j)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ in its k -factorization. It remains only to consider the good guys (u, v) in $\mathcal{W}_{-p} \cup \mathcal{W}_p$.

Since $w(\pm p, p) = 2^{p-1}$, each term in $\mathcal{W}_{-p} \cup \mathcal{W}_p$ must be counted 2^{p-1} times. We now give a surjection from the set B_p to the set of good guys in $\mathcal{W}_{-p} \cup \mathcal{W}_p$ so that each term in the latter has 2^{p-1} preimages. Suppose that $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_0$ has class p and $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(s)}; v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(s)})$ is its k -factorization. Then we must have $s = 2p$. If $|u^{(s)}|_1 - |v^{(s)}|_1 = \varepsilon k$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, then exchanging $u^{(j)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ for any j such that $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1 = \varepsilon k$, we obtain a good guy $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ in $\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon p}$. Conversely, for any good guy $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \mathcal{W}_{-p} \cup \mathcal{W}_p$, let $(\bar{u}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{u}^{(2p)}; \bar{v}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{v}^{(2p)})$ be its k -factorization. Then there are exactly 2^{p-1} preimages of $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ obtained by exchanging $\bar{u}^{(j_i)}$ and $\bar{v}^{(j_i)}$, where $j_i = 2i - 1$ or $2i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$, and $j_p = 2p$. This completes the proof of the $N = M$ case.

- Assume that $N > M$. By definition $M + k \geq N$. Let $(u, v) \in \mathcal{W}_l$ be a good guy. Then (u, v) has $2|l|$ or $(2|l| - 1)$ k -segments if $l < 0$, and $2|l|$ or $(2|l| + 1)$ k -segments if $l \geq 0$. We can again choose any r of the pairs $(u^{(j)}, v^{(j)})$ in the k -factorization of (u, v) and exchange $v^{(j)}$ and $u^{(j)}$. The cases of $2|l|$ k -segments sum to zero as before. What remain are the cases of $(2|l| - 1)$ k -segments for $l < 0$ and of $(2|l| + 1)$

k -segments for $l \geq 0$. The appropriate sum of weights is given as follows:

$$B_{|l|,p} := \sum_{r=0}^{|l|-p} \binom{2|l|-1}{r} w(|l|-r, p), \quad (2.4)$$

$$C_{|l|,p} := \sum_{r=0}^{|l|-p} \binom{2|l|+1}{r} w(|l|-r, p). \quad (2.5)$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$B_{|l|,p} + C_{|l|-1,p} = \sum_{r=0}^{|l|-p} \binom{2|l|}{r} w(|l|-r, p) = 0.$$

Hence the total weight of $\mathcal{W}_{-l-1}$ cancels that of $\mathcal{W}_l$. One can check that for the maximal l ($l = \lfloor M/k \rfloor$), some terms in $\mathcal{W}_l$ have $s = 2l + 1$ if and only if some terms in $\mathcal{W}_{-l-1}$ have $s = 2l + 1$. Thus this boundary case is also canceled. Finally, the only remaining terms are the:

- (a) good guys in $\mathcal{W}_{-p}$;
- (b) good guys in $\mathcal{W}_p$ with $2p$ k -segments.

Again each term in $\mathcal{W}_{-p} \cup \mathcal{W}_p$ has weight 2^{p-1} . We now give a surjection from the set B_p to the set of good guys in (a) and (b) by distinguishing the following two cases:

- If $N = M + k$, then (b) is empty. Suppose that $(u, v) \in B_p$ and $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(2p-1)}; v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(2p-1)})$ is its k -factorization. Then $|u^{(2p-1)}| - |v^{(2p-1)}| = -k$, and for any $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, exactly one of $|u^{(2i-1)}| - |v^{(2i-1)}|$ and $|u^{(2i)}| - |v^{(2i)}|$ is equal to $-k$. For all j , exchanging $u^{(j)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ if $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1 = -k$, we obtain a good guy in $\mathcal{W}_{-p}$.
- If $M + k > N > M$, for any $(u, v) \in B_p$, let $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(s)}; v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(s)})$ be its k -factorization. We have $s = 2p + 1$ or $s = 2p$. If $|u^{(s-1)}|_1 - |v^{(s-1)}|_1 = -k$, then for all j , exchanging $u^{(j)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ if $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1 = -k$, we obtain a good guy in $\mathcal{W}_{-p}$. If $|u^{(s-1)}|_1 - |v^{(s-1)}|_1 = k$ (in this case we must have $s = 2p + 1$), then exchanging $u^{(j)}$ and $v^{(j)}$ if $|u^{(j)}|_1 - |v^{(j)}|_1 = k$, we obtain a good guy in $\mathcal{W}_p$ with $2p$ k -segments.

It is easy to see that each term in (a) and (b) has 2^{p-1} preimages.

This completes the whole proof. ■

Theorem 2.3 has the following sister theorem.

Theorem 2.4. For $M, N \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \binom{M+N}{N-kl} \cos(lx) = \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p (1 + \cos(x))^p,$$

where c_p is the number of $(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}_{M,N} \times \mathcal{M}_{M,N}$ of class p such that $-2k < \sum_{j \leq s} (u_j - v_j) < 2k$ for all $s = 1, \dots, M+N$.

For $k = 1$ and $N = M$, since the number of good guys (u, v) on $\{0, 1\}$ such that $|u| = |v| = 2M$ and $|u|_1 = |v|_0 = M + p$ is given by $\binom{2M}{M+p} \binom{M+p}{M-p}$, we get the following explicit formula:

$$\sum_{l=-M}^M \binom{2M}{M-l}^2 \cos(lx) = \sum_{p=0}^M \frac{(2M)! 2^p}{(M-p)!^2 (2p)!} (1 + \cos(x))^p.$$

Similarly, for $k = 1$ and $N = M + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=-M-1}^M \binom{2M+1}{M-l}^2 \cos(lx) &= \sum_{p=1}^{M+1} \frac{(2M+1)! 2^{p-1}}{(M-p+1)!^2 (2p-1)!} (1 + \cos(x))^p, \\ \sum_{l=-M}^M \binom{2M+1}{M-l} \binom{2M+1}{M+l} \cos(lx) &= \sum_{p=0}^M \frac{(2M+1)! 2^p}{(M-p)!(M-p+1)!(2p)!} (1 + \cos(x))^p. \end{aligned}$$

3 Further extensions

In the proof of Theorem 2.3, the nonnegativity of the coefficient of $(1 + \cos(x))^p$ for $p > 0$ follows from the nonnegativity of (2.3). Thus the proof of Theorem 2.3 is also valid for certain weights other than the T -Chebyshev weight.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $p_l(z) = \sum_{p=0}^l w(l, p) z^p$ is a polynomial in z of degree at most l . If (2.3) is nonnegative, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 p_{|l|}(z) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} b_p z^p \quad (\text{for } |M-N| \leq k), \\ \sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \binom{M+N}{N-kl} p_{|l|}(z) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p z^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_p \geq 0$ and $c_p \geq 0$ for $p > 0$.

For example, if

$$p_l(z) = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_l}{(\beta + 1)_l} P_l^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z - 1)$$

where $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ is the Jacobi polynomials defined by

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1(-n, n + \alpha + \beta + 1; \alpha + 1; (1 - x)/2)$$

(see [2, p. 99]), then the ${}_2F_1(1)$ evaluation (1.7) yields that

$$(2.3) = \frac{(l + \alpha + \beta + 1)_p (\alpha + \beta + 1)_l (l - p - \alpha - \beta)_{l-p}}{(l - p)! p! (\beta + 1)_p (l + \alpha + \beta + 2p)_{l-p} 2^p}. \quad (3.1)$$

It is clear that if $-1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta > -1$, we have $(3.1) \geq 0$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $-1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\beta > -1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \binom{M + N}{M - kl}^2 \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_{|l|}}{(\beta + 1)_{|l|}} P_{|l|}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z - 1) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} b_p z^p \quad \text{if } |M - N| \leq k, \\ \sum_l \binom{M + N}{M - kl} \binom{M + N}{N - kl} \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_{|l|}}{(\beta + 1)_{|l|}} P_{|l|}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z - 1) &= \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p z^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_p \geq 0$ and $c_p \geq 0$ for $p > 0$.

There is a *sine* version of Theorem 1.1. By derivation, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \binom{M + N}{M - kl}^2 \frac{l \sin(lx)}{\sin(x)} &\geq 0 \quad \text{if } |M - N| \leq k, \\ \sum_l \binom{M + N}{M - kl} \binom{M + N}{N - kl} \frac{l \sin(lx)}{\sin(x)} &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for any real x . Another version will be given in Corollary 3.3.

We now apply Theorem 3.1 to the polynomial

$$p_l(z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l = 0, \\ \frac{(\alpha + \beta + 1)_{l-1}}{(\beta + 1)_{l-1}} P_{l-1}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z - 1), & \text{if } l > 0. \end{cases}$$

The argument of Theorem 2.3 also implies that the constant term is nonnegative in this case. To verify that Theorem 3.1 may be used, we again apply the ${}_2F_1(1)$ evaluation to find that

$$(2.3) = \frac{(l + \alpha + \beta)_p (\alpha + \beta + 1)_{l-1} (l - p - \alpha - \beta + 1)_{l-p-1}}{(l - p - 1)! p! (\beta + 1)_p (l + \alpha + \beta + 2p - 1)_{l-p-1} 2^p},$$

so that the nonnegativity holds if $-1 \leq \alpha + \beta \leq 2$ and $\beta > -1$. For the special case $\alpha = \beta = 1/2$, we obtain the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, thus the next corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $M, N \geq 0$. Then*

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl}^2 \frac{\sin(|l|x)}{\sin(x)} \geq 0 \quad \text{if } |M-N| \leq k,$$

$$\sum_l \binom{M+N}{M-kl} \binom{M+N}{N-kl} \frac{\sin(|l|x)}{\sin(x)} \geq 0,$$

for any real x .

It seems that Theorem 1.1 can be further generalized. For example, we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4. *Let M_i and N_i be nonnegative integers such that $|M_i - N_i| \leq k$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then*

$$\sum_l \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{M_i + N_i}{M_i - kl} \cos(lx) \tag{3.2}$$

is a polynomial in $1 + \cos(x)$ with nonnegative integral coefficients, and

$$\sum_l \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{M_i + N_i}{M_i - kl} \frac{\sin(|l|x)}{\sin(x)} \geq 0$$

for any real x .

Remark. Jaming Philippe (personal communication) has observed that the nonnegativity of (3.2) for any real x can be derived from the $r = 1$ case as follows. For any two trigonometric polynomials $P(x) = \sum_k a_k e^{ikx}$ and $Q(x) = \sum_k b_k e^{ikx}$, we have the usual convolution formula:

$$(P * Q)(x) := \sum_k a_k b_k e^{ikx} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(t) Q(x-t) dt,$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. For the cosine polynomials, we just have the further constraint $a_{-k} = a_k$. Clearly, the convolution theorem implies that if P and Q are nonnegative polynomials, then so is $P * Q$. Moreover, it obviously extends to the product of $r \geq 3$ polynomials:

$$\sum_l \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{M_i + N_i}{M_i - k_i} \cos(lx) = (P_1 * P_2 * \dots * P_N)(x), \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$P_i(x) = \sum_l \binom{M_i + N_i}{M_i - k_i} \cos(lx).$$

For $|M_i - N_i| \leq k_i$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, the nonnegativity of (3.3) follows from that of (1.1). Nevertheless, our Conjecture 3.4 still remains challenging.

When $M_i = N_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $x = \pi$ and $k = 1$ the nonnegativity of (3.2) was also proved in [6] along with a q -analogue. Some q -analogues of (1.2) were considered in [3, 5, 7]. We would like to end this paper with the following problem.

Problem 3.5. *Find a q -analogue of Theorem 1.1.*

Acknowledgments. This work was done during the first author's visit to Institut Camille Jordan of Université Lyon I, and was supported by Project MIRA 2007 de la Région Rhône-Alpes. The first author was also sponsored by Shanghai Educational Development Foundation under the Chenguang Project 2007CG29 and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project, Project Number: B407.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, On a conjecture of Peter Borwein, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 20 (1995), 487–501.
- [2] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] G. E. Andrews, R. J. Baxter, D. M. Bressoud, W. H. Burge, P. J. Forrester, and G. Viennot, Partitions with prescribed hook differences, *European J. Combin.* 8 (1987), 341–350.
- [4] A. Berkovich, S. O. Warnaar, Positivity preserving transformations for q -binomial coefficients, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (2005), 2291–2351.
- [5] D. M. Bressoud, The Borwein conjecture and partitions with prescribed hook differences. The Foata Festschrift. *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (2) (1996), #R4.
- [6] V. J. W. Guo, F. Jouhet, J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and q -binomial coefficients, *Acta Arith.* 127 (2007), 17–31.
- [7] M. E. H. Ismail, D. Kim, and D. Stanton, Lattice paths and positive trigonometric sums, *Constr. Approx.* 15 (1999), 69–81.
- [8] T. Narayana, *Lattice path combinatorics with statistical applications*, Math. Exposition No. 23, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1976.